From: ANDERSON Jim M

To: <u>Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; sheila@ridolfi.com; frenchrd@cdm.com; GAINER Tom; Brad Hermanson;</u>

Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; JMalek@parametrix.com; MCCLINCY Matt; jpeers@stratusconsulting.com; POULSEN Mike; KingTW@CDM.com; Karl Gustavson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA;

CClaytor@parametrix.com; Sean Sheldrake/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: RE: Follow-Up Items from LWG FS Meeting

**Date:** 11/23/2009 03:24 PM

## Eric.

Thanks for putting together this useful summary. I reviewed my notes & think you captured all the:
1) EPA & Gov Team tasks, 2) key concerns re: the LWG's proposed framework, & 3) LWG tasks. You asked for volunteers to talk on specific questions re: the LWG's Tools & Approach..., I'll volunteer to take on the Disposal Site question. Perhaps we can talk about additional duties in our 12/1 EPA/partners FS Team mtg.

Jim

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]

**Sent:** Friday, November 20, 2009 10:35 AM

**To:** ANDERSON Jim M; sheila@ridolfi.com; frenchrd@cdm.com; GAINER Tom; Brad Hermanson; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov; JMalek@parametrix.com; MCCLINCY Matt; jpeers@stratusconsulting.com; POULSEN Mike; KingTW@CDM.com;

Gustavson.Karl@epamail.epa.gov; CClaytor@parametrix.com; Sheldrake.Sean@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: Follow-Up Items from LWG FS Meeting

Attached is a table summarizing the follow-up items from Wednesday's meeting with the LWG. I have divided the list into four categories:

EPA and Government Team Tasks - These are follow-up items specifically identified by the LWG. These are probably our highest priority.

Proposed LWG Framework - These are key concerns about the overall LWG approach. Brad is developing a write-up on this approach for distribution to the FS Team.

LWG Tasks - These are the modeling tasks that the LWG is pursuing. I want to track these to identify and areas where EPA input is required.

Specific Question on LWG Tools and Approach - This is a list of questions that came out of our premeeting and the discussion on Wednesday. The priority for follow-up for these items varies. We will need to identify point persons for each question/comment.

Please look this over and let me know if I missed anything. In addition, please let me know if you want to take the lead on any of the items in the last category.

Karl, note that I made you the lead on the MNR cost estimates since your name was all over the DOD MNR guidance and there is a section in that document about monitoring some summary cost information.

If anyone as comments or questions, please let me know. This is a living document.

Thanks, Eric